- 1			
1			
2	ANTHONY E. SONNETT, SB# 163182 E-Mail: Anthony.Sonnett@lewisbrisbois.com		
3	E-Mail: Anthony.Sonnett@lewisbrisbois.com STEVEN A. DABROWSKI, SB# 294194 E-Mail: Steven.Dabrowski@lewisbrisbois.com 633 West 5 th Street, Suite 4000		
4	633 West 5 th Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90071		
5	Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: 213.250.1800 Facsimile: 213.250.7900		
6	Attorneys for Defendant		
7	VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC		
8			
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION	
10	TAMEIKA MORI,	CASE NO.	
11	Plaintiff,	Lower Case No. RG19004473	
12	vs.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF	
13	TAYLOR MACHINE WORKS, INC.; VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA,	NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT	
14	VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC; DOES 1 to 30,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Samantha Cox certifies and declare	s as follows:	
18	I am over the age of 18 years and no	ot a party to this action.	
19	My business address is 633 West 5 th	Street, Suite 4000 which is in the city,	
20	county, and state where the mailing descri	bed below took place.	
21	On March 25, 2019, I deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles,		
22	California, a copy of the Notice to Advers	e Party of Removal to Federal Court dated	
23	March 25, 2019, a copy of which is attach	ed to this Certificate as Exhibit 1.	
24	I declare under penalty of perjury th	at the foregoing is true and correct.	
25	Executed on March 25, 2019		
26	Samatho Cy		
27	Samantha Cox		
28	Samanula	COA	

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4819-3037-3006.1

EXHIBIT "1"

```
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
     ANTHONY E. SONNETT, SB# 163182
       E-Mail: Anthony.Sonnett@lewisbrisbois.com
    STEVEN A. DABROWSKI, SB# 294194
    E-Mail: Steven.Dabrowski@lewisbrisbois.com 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 4000
  3
    Los Angeles, California 90071
    Telephone: 213.250.1800
    Facsimile: 213.250.7900
  5
    Attorneys for Defendant
  6
     VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC
  7
 8
                      SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 9
          COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, UNLIMITED JURISDICTION / NORTHERN BRANCH
                   RENE C. DAVIDSON ALAMEDA COUNTY COURTHOUSE
10
    TAMEIKA MORI,
                                                 CASE NO. RG19004473
11
                  Plaintiff,
12
                                                 NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY OF
                                                 REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT
13
           VS.
                                                 [Assigned for All Purposes to:
    TAYLOR MACHINE WORKS, INC.:
                                                 The Hon. Evelio Grillo – Dept. 151
    VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC:
    DOES 1 to 30.
15
                                                 Action Filed:
                                                                 January 23, 2019
                                                 Trial Date:
                                                                 None Set
16
                 Defendants.
17
18
    TO PLAINTIFF AND HER ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
19
          PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT a Notice of Removal of this action was filed in the
20
    United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Oakland Division on March
21
   25, 2019. The basis for defendant's removal is diversity jurisdiction.
22 | / / /
23 1///
24 | | / / /
25 || / / /
26 1///
27 || / / /
28 | 1///
    4817-1249-7806.1
```

NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT

& SIMITH LLP

Case 3:19-cv-01539-SI Document 1-2 Filed 03/25/19 Page 4 of 37

A copy of the Notice of Removal is attached to this Notice as Exhibit "A," and is served and filed herewith. DATED: March 25, 2019 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP By: Steven A. Dabrowski Attorneys for Defendant VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT JAME

4817-1249-7806.1

1	CALIFORNIA STATE COURT PROOF OF SERVICE
2	Mori v. VGNA - Case No. RG19004473
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
4 5	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is 633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000, Los Angeles, CA 90071.
6	On March 25, 2019, I served the following document(s): NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT
7	I served the documents on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax
8	numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable):
9	Michael Villeggiante, Esq. WELTIN, STREB, & WELTIN, LLP
10	1432 Martin Luther King Jr. Way Oakland, CA 94612
11	Tel: 510-251-6060 Fax: 510-251-6040
12	Email: mvilleggiante@weltinlaw.com
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Tameika Mori
14	The documents were served by the following means:
15	(BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed above and:
16	Placed the envelope or package for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing
17 18	correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, on the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope or package with the postage fully prepaid.
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
20	foregoing is true and correct.
21	Executed on March 25, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.
22	
23	SAMANTHA COX
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

BISGAARD & SMTH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4817-1249-7806,1

EXHIBIT "A"

	li .		
1	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LI ANTHONY E. SONNETT, SB# 163182		
2	STEVEN A. DABROWSKI, SB# 294194		
4	633 West 5 th Street, Suite 4000		
5	Telephone: 213.250.1800		
6	Attorneys for Defendant VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, UNLIMITED	JURISDICTION / NORTHERN BRANCH	
10	RENE C. DAVIDSON ALAMI	EDA COUNTY COURTHOUSE	
11	TAMEIKA MORI,	CASE NO. RG19004473	
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF FILING OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT	
13	VS.	[Assigned for All Purposes to:	
14	TAYLOR MACHINE WORKS, INC.; VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC;	The Hon. Evelio Grillo – Dept. 15]	
15	DOES 1 to 30,	Action Filed: January 23, 2019 Trial Date: None Set	
16	Defendants.	11010 200	
17			
18	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITL	ED COURT:	
19	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on Mar	ch 25, 2019, defendant Volvo Group North	
20	America, LLC filed a Notice of Removal in the U	Inited States District Court for the Northern	
21	District of California. The filing of the Notice of	Removal in the United States District Court	
22	together with the filing of a copy of the Notice of	the Removal with this Court effects the removal	
23	of the above-entitled action.		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
1	\$924 \$270 L429 I		

NOTICE OF FILING OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT

BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNESS AT LAW

Case 3:19-cv-01539-SI Document 1-2 Filed 03/25/19 Page 8 of 37

A true and correct copy of the Notice of Removal is attached as Exhibit A to the Notice to Adverse Party of Removal to Federal Court, which is filed and served concurrently herewith. DATED: March 25, 2019 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP By: Steven A. Dabrowski Attorneys for Defendant VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LEP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4824-5279-1438.1

1	CALIFORNIA STATE COURT PROOF OF SERVICE			
2	Mori v. VGNA - Case No. RG19004473			
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
4 5	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is 633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000, Los Angeles, CA 90071.			
6	On March 25, 2019, I served the following document(s): NOTICE OF FILING OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT			
7 8	I served the documents on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable):			
9	Michael Villeggiante, Esq. WELTIN, STREB, & WELTIN, LLP 1432 Martin Luther King Jr. Way Oakland, CA 94612			
11	Tel: 510-251-6060 Fax: 510-251-6040 Email: mvilleggiante@weltinlaw.com			
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Tameika Mori			
13 14	The documents were served by the following means:			
15	(BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed above and:			
16 17	Placed the envelope or package for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, on the same day that correspondence is placed			
18	for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope or package with the postage fully prepaid.			
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.			
20	Executed on March 25, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.			
21				
22				
23	SAMANTHA COX			
24				
25 26				
27				
28				

BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ALLORNEYS AT LAW

4824-5279-1438.1

EXHIBIT "A"

1	TEXALS DDISDOIS DISCLAND & SM	ITHIID		
	ANTHONY E. SONNETT, SB# 163182			
2	S1EVEN A. DABKOWSKI, SB# 294194			
3	E-Mail: Steven.Dabrowski@lewisbrisbois.com 633 West 5 th Street, Suite 4000			
4 .	Los Angeles, California 90071			
5	Telephone: 213.250.1800 Facsimile: 213.250.7900			
6	Attorneys for Defendant VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA,			
7	LLC			
8	T WITTEN GTATES	DISTRICT COURT		
9				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION		
11		l		
12	TAMEIKA MORI,	CASE NO. Lower Case No. RG19004473		
13	Plaintiff,			
vs. NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. 1				
15	TAYLOR MACHINE WORKS, INC.; VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC; DOES 1 to 30,	DIVERSITY		
16	Defendants.			
17				
18	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-EN	NTITLED COURT:		
19	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defe	ndant Volvo Group North America, LLC		
20	("VGNA" or "defendant") hereby remove	s to this Court the State Court action		
21	described below:			
22	1. On January 23, 2019, an action	on was commenced in the Superior Court		
23	of the State of California in and for the Co	ounty of Alameda, entitled Tameika Mori,		
24	Plaintiff, v. Taylor Machine Works, Inc.;	Volvo Group North America, LLC; Does I		
25	to 30, Defendants, Case Number RG1900-	4473. A copy of plaintiff's complaint and		
26	accompanying documents is attached here	to as Exhibit A . A copy of defendant's		
27	answer to the complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit B .			
28	2. The first date upon which de	fendant received a copy of the complaint		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTOPNEYS AT LAW

4840-9256-7182.1

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. 1441(b) DIVERSITY

was February 22, 2019, when defendant's agent for service of process was served with a copy of the complaint and a summons. Copies of the summons and notice of service of process to VGNA are attached collectively hereto as **Exhibit C**.

- 3. This is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and is one which may be removed to this Court by defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. Attached to plaintiff's complaint is a Statement of Damages which demonstrates plaintiff is seeking damages in the amount of \$5,400,000.
- 4. Complete diversity of citizenship exists. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California. Defendant Volvo Group North America, LLC is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in the State of North Carolina. Defendant Taylor Machine Works, Inc. is a Mississippi corporation with a principal place of business in the State of Mississippi.
- 5. Co-Defendant Taylor Machine Works, Inc. has consented to the removal of the State Court action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
- 6. Removal to the United States District Court, Northern District, Oakland Division is proper as the State Court action is venued in Alameda County.

DATED: March 25, 2019

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By:

Steven A. Dabrowski

Attorneys for Defendant VOLVO GROUP

NORTH AMERICA, LLC

EXHIBIT "A"

	FEB 0 1 2019 PLD-PI-00
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY glame, State Bar number, and activate): Michael Villeggiante SBN 284860 Weltin, Streb, & Weltin, LLP 1432 Martin Luther King Jr. Way	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Oakland, CA 94612 TELEPHONE NO: 510-251-6060 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): mvilleggiante@weltinlaw.com ATTORNEY FOR (Manuel): Tameika Mori SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Alameda	ENDUNSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY
STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street	JAN 20219
MALING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZP CODE: Oakland, CA 94612	CIEDNOCHER
BRANCH MAKE: Unlimited Jurisdiction / Northern Branch PLAINTIFF: Tameika Mori	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By: ERICA BAKER, Deputy
DEFENDANT: Taylor Machine Works, Inc.; Volvo Group North America, LLC; DOES 1 TO 30	
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	
AMENDED (Number): Type (check all that apply):	İ
MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER (specify): Products Liability Property Damage Wrongful Death Personal Injury Other Damages (specify):	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER:
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,900	
exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000 ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000) ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint	RG19004473
from timited to unlimited from unlimited to timited	
1. Plaintiff (name or names): Tameika Mori	
alleges causes of action egainst defendant (name or names): Taylor Machine Works, Inc.; Volvo Group North America, LLC; Does 2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of p	
3. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult	-3-a
a except plaintiff (neme): (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian or conservator or conservat	rdian ad litem has been appointed
b except plaintiff (name): (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult (a) cor whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guar (b) other (specify):	dian ad litem has been appointed
(5) Other (specify):	
Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Att	achment 3,
Form Approved for Optional Use COMPL AIMT Personal Injury Property	Page 1 of 3 Code of Civil Property 6 425 12

1	•	· (·	·	PLD-I	PI-0
٢	SHORT TITLE:			CASE NUMBER:	
	Mori v. Taylor	r Machine Works, Inc.			
4,	. Pláintiff (n	•			
	is doing of	usiness under the fictitious name (specify):			
5.		omplied with the lictitious business name law named above is a natural person	s.		
-,	a. 📝 except	defendant (name): Taylor Machine Works.			
	(1)	a búsiness organization, form unknown		siness organization, form unknown rporation	
	(3)	an unincorporated entity (describe):		nincorporated entity (describe):	
	(4)	a public entity (describe):	(4) [ари	blic entity (describe):	
	(5)	other (specify):	(5) othé	(specify):	
	b. dexcept	defendant (name): Volvo Group North America, L		•	
	<u>@</u> 🔚	a business organization, form unknown		siness organization, form unknown	
	(2) <u> </u>	a corporation an unincorporated entity (describe);	· · · ===	poration nincorporated entity (describe):	
	(4)] a public entity (describe):	(4) a put	olic entity (describe):	
	(6)] othér <i>(specify):</i> LLC	(5) other	(specify):	
	Information	n about additional defendants who are not na	tural persons is contained in	Attachment 5.	
6,		of defendants sued as Ooes are unknown to	o plaintiff,		
	a. Doe d	elendants (specify Doe numbers): 1-30 d defendants and acted within the scope of the	were nat agency or employment.	the agents or employees of other	
	b. Doe d	elendants (specify Doe numbers): 1-30	are pe	reons whose capacitles are unknown	to
7.	•	n. Is who are joined under Code of Civil Proced	ure saction 382 are (names)	:	
8.		proper court because			
		it one defendant now resides in its jurisdiction			
		ncipal place of business of a defendant corpus to person or damage to personal property occ			
		specify):			
9 .		required to comply with a claims statute, and			
		implied with applicable claims statutes, or			
	b. [] is excu	sed from complying because (specify):			

•		_ · (·	· ·	(PLD-P1-01
1	iort tites: fori v. Taylor Machin	ne Works, Inc.		CASE N	LAKEER:
10.	The following causes of causes of action attach a. Motor Vehicle b. General Neglic. Intentional Tod. Products Liable. Premises Liab f. Other (specify	ed): gence 1 lity slity	nd the statements above a	pply to each (each com	nplaint múst have óna or more
ქ1.	a. wage loss b. loss of use of p	edical expenses ge capacity			
12.	The damages clain a. It listed in Attachs b. as follows:		and the relationships of pl	aintiff to the deceased a	are
13.	The relief sought in this c	omplaint is within the j	unsdiction of this court.		
	a. (1) compensate (2) punitive dar	ory damages mages jas is (in cases for pen o proof	r such rellef as is fair, juat, sonal injury or ŵrongful dei) :
15. [The paragraphs of the	his complaint elleged o	in information and belief ar	ė as follows (specify pa	ragraph numbers):
	1/16/19			AM)	
Mic	nael Villeggiante	rius Mears		JIW I	
	(TYPE OR P	LINE INVES		(GRUNATUKE OF PLA	INTEF OR ATTORNEY)

	PLD-PI-001
SHORT TITLE:	CASE MULBER:
Mori v. Taylor Machine Works, Inc.	
	ON—Products Liability Pege 4
(number) ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Co	- natab
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of actio	
Plaintiff (name): Tameika Mori	
Prod. L-1. On or about (date): July 1, 2017	plaintiff was injured by the following product:
Reach stacker container loader known colloquially Works, Inc. model XLC-976	as a "top pick," believed to be a Taylor Machine
was being used in the manner interided by the defendence.	l of each defendant. The product at the time of injury dants. presseable by defendants as involving a substantial danger not
Prod. L-3. Plaintiff was a	·
purchaser of the product.	user of the product.
bystander to the use of the product.	cther (specify):
PLAINTIFF'S INJURY WAS THE LEGAL (PROXIMATE) RES Prod. L-4. Count One—Strict liability of the followin a. manufactured or essembled the p	g defendants who
	•
Z Does 1	
	conent parts supplied to the manufacturer (names):
	:.; Volvo Group North America, LLC;
Does 1	to 30
c. sold the product to the public (nar	nes):
Taylor Machine Works, Inc	.; Volvo Group North America, LLC;
Does 1	to 30
Prod. L-5. Count Two—Negligence of the following	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Taylor Machine Works, Inc.; Volve	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
7 Does 1	
Prod. L-6. Count Three—Breach of warranty by the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Taylor Machine Works, Inc.; Volve	
a. who breached an implied warrant	_ to
b: Who breached an express warrant	
Prod. L-7. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs fo	

CIV-050 DO NOT FILE WITH THE COURT-UNLESS YOU ARE APPLYING FOR A DEFAULT JUDGMENT UNDER CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE § 585 -

KITCHIEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and AG	dassi; TELEPHONE NO.:	200.00
Michael Villeggiante SBN 284860	T: 510-251-6060	FOR COURTUSE DALY
Weltin, Streb, & Weltin, LLP	F: 510-251-6040	!
1432 Martin Luther King Jr. Way Oakland, CA 94612	E: mvilleggiante@weltinlaw.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (MANN): Tarneika Mori		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, CO	UNTY OF Alameda	
STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street		
спу мю zp сове. Oakland, CA 94612 sranch name: Unlimited Jurisdictio	n / Northern Branch	
PLAINTIFF: Tameika Mori DEFENDANY: Taylor Machine Works, Inc.; V	olvo Group North America, LLC; Does 1-30	
STATEMENT (Personal Injury	OF DAMAGES or Wrongful Death)	CASÉ NUMBER.
To (name of one defendant only): Taylor Plaintif (name of one plaintiff only): Tameil seeks damages in the above-entitled action,	ka Mori	
General damages A Pain, suffering, and inconvenience	8	AMOUNT ± .1.400.000
	-4 (2-2-13-27-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-	
	61 to 44 4 100 (144 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
d, Loss of sociey and companionship	p (wrongful deeth actions only)	***************************************
	. Lifer II 1-1-1 Del First (Affice to Le 1) (CS Live to second Le Cal o fecul fina fino fecul for an entre for all posses	
f. C Other (specify)		\$
g. Continued on Atlachment 1.g.	•	
2. Special damages		- 400 000
	value)	
	. 46/80)	**************************************
	esaus valua)	***************************************
	24044 44165	V
Funeral expenses (wandil) death	actions only)	***************************************
p Future contributions (present value	r) (vnonglui dealh actions only)	\$
h. Value of personal service, advice, i	or training (wrongful death actions only)	\$
I. Other (enacifu)		•
]. Cther (specify)		\$
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.		
3. Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves when pursuing a judgment in the sult fit Date: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	the right to seek punitive damages in the ambilled against you.	unt of specififf. 8
MATEURE A LIVER RIGHT NAME	CSIGNATUR	E OF PLANTER BY ATTOMATY FOR PLANTIFF!
	(Proof of service on reverse)	Page 1 et 2
Form Adopted for Mandelovy Use Jesticial Courtel of Colligation	STATEMENT OF DAMAGES	Code of Civi Procedure, 64 426,11, 426,115

CN-050 [Rev. Jersey 1, 2007]

(Personal Injury or Wrongful Death)

- DO NOT FILE WITH THE COURT-UNLESS YOU ARE APPLYING FOR A DEFAULT JUDGMENT UNDER CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE § 585 -

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address): Michael Villeggiante SBN 284860	T: 510-251-6060	FOR COURTUSE CHILY
Weltin, Streb, & Weltin, LLP	F: 510-251-6040	
	ggiante@weltinlaw.com	
Oakland, CA 94612	SS9	
ATTORNEY FOR purpo: Tameika Mori		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Ala	meda	
STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street		
MALING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP COOE: Oakland, CA 94612 BRANCH NAME: Unlimited Jurisdiction / Northern 1	Branch	
PLAINTIFF: Tameika Mori		
DEFENDANT: Taylor Machine Works, Inc.; Volva Group North		<u> </u>
STATEMENT OF DAMAGE (Personal Injury or Wrongful D	:5	
To (name of one defendant only): Volvo Group North	America, LLC	
Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): Tameika Mori		
seaks damages in the above-entitled action, as follows:		AMOUNT
1. General damages		AMOUNT
a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience		
b. Emotional distress.		
c. Loss of consortium		
d. Loss of sociey and companionship (wrongful deat	th actions only)	
e. Other (specify)		\$
f. Other (specify)	***************************************	
g. Continued on Atlachment 1.g.		
2. Special damages		
a. Medical expenses (to dete)		\$ <u>400,000</u>
b. Future medical expenses (present value)	(#BP#18************************************	\$ 400,000
c. Loss of earnings (to date)	·	
d, Loss of future earning capacity (present value)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
e. Property damage		
1. The Funeral expenses (wrongful death actions only)		
g. Titure contributions (present value) (wrongful deal	th actions only)	\$
h. Value of personal service, advice, or training (wron	ngful death ections only)	,\$
). Cother (specify)		<u> </u>
]. Other (spedily)	rtrußerryperiburerrunkriseltesprampeeneretetypt af gdaseppt førdeet	<u> </u>
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.	_	, ,
3. Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the right to seed when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed against you.		ecity) \$
Daile: 1/16/19	DU 11/11/11	MA-
Michael Villeggiante	V U JINU	
(Proof of	(รเดิดสานารัย GFPLA) Service on raversa)	F OR ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF!
And Write 17	WITH AR T-4554 ARA	Page 1 of 2

Form Actioned for Mandelory Use Audicial Council of California City-050 (fley, January 1, 2007) STATEMENT OF DAMAGES (Personal Injury or Wrongful Death) Gode of Civil Procedure, \$5 425.11, 425.115 www.constinto.co.god



Superior Court of California, County of Alameda Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Packet

The person who files a civil lawsuit (plaintiff) must include the ADR Information Packet with the complaint when serving the defendant. Cross complainants must serve the ADR Information Packet on any new parties named to the action.

The Court strongly encourages the parties to use some form of ADR before proceeding to trial. You may choose ADR by:

- Indicating your preference on Case Management Form CM-110;
- Filing the Stipulation to ADR and Delay Initial Case Management Conference for 90 Days (a local form included with the information packet); or
- Agree to ADR at your Initial Case Management Conference.

QUESTIONS? Call (510) 891-6055. Email adrprogram@alameda.courts.ca.gov Or visit the court's website at http://www.alameda.courts.ca.gov/adr

What Are The Advantages Of Using ADR?

- Faster —Litigation can take years to complete but ADR usually takes weeks or months.
- Cheaper Parties can save on attorneys' fees and litigation costs.
- More control and flexibility Parties choose the ADR process appropriate for their case.
- Cooperative and less stressful In mediation, parties cooperate to find a mutually agreeable resolution.
- Preserve Relationships A mediator can help you effectively communicate your
 interests and point of view to the other side. This is an important benefit when you want
 to preserve a relationship.

What Is The Disadvantage Of Using ADR?

You may go to court anyway – If you cannot resolve your dispute using ADR, you may still have to spend time and money resolving your lawsuit through the courts.

What ADR Options Are Available?

- Mediation A neutral person (mediator) helps the parties communicate, clarify facts, identify legal issues, explore settlement options, and agree on a solution that is acceptable to all sides.
 - Court Mediation Program: Mediators do not charge fees for the first two hours of mediation. If parties need more time, they must pay the mediator's regular fees.

Some mediators ask for a deposit before mediation starts which is subject to a refund for unused time.

- o Private Mediation: This is mediation where the parties pay the mediator's regular fees and may choose a mediator outside the court's panel.
- Arbitration A neutral person (arbitrator) hears arguments and evidence from each side
 and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial and the
 rules of evidence are often relaxed. Arbitration is effective when the parties want
 someone other than themselves to decide the outcome.
 - O Judicial Arbitration Program (non-binding): The judge can refer a case or the parties can agree to use judicial arbitration. The parties select an arbitrator from a list provided by the court. If the parties cannot agree on an arbitrator, one will be assigned by the court. There is no fee for the arbitrator. The arbitrator must send the decision (award of the arbitrator) to the court. The parties have the right to reject the award and proceed to trial.
 - O Private Arbitration (binding and non-binding) occurs when parties involved in a dispute either agree or are contractually obligated. This option takes place outside of the courts and is normally binding meaning the arbitrator's decision is final.

Mediation Service Programs In Alameda County

Low cost mediation services are available through non-profit community organizations. Trained volunteer mediators provide these services. Contact the following organizations for more information:

SEEDS Community Resolution Center

1968 San Pablo Avenue, Berkeley, CA 94702-1612

Telephone; (510) 548-2377 Website: www.seedscrc.org

Their mission is to provide mediation, facilitation, training and education programs in our diverse communities - Services that Encourage Effective Dialogue and Solution-making.

Center for Community Dispute Settlement

291 McLeod Street, Livermore, CA 94550

Telephone: (925) 373-1035 Website: www.trivalleymediation.com CCDS provides services in the Tri-Valley area for all of Alameda County.

For Victim/Offender Restorative Justice Services

Catholic Charities of the East Bay: Oakland

433 Jefferson Street, Oakland, CA 94607

Telephone: (510) 768-3100 Website: www.cceb.org

Mediation sessions involve the youth, victim, and family members work toward a mutually agreeable restitution agreement.

•		ALA ADR-001
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Sinto Bar sumber, and a	र्वक्ष्ण्ड)	FOR COURT USE CHLY
TELEPHONE NO.: FA E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Nama):	NO. (Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, ALAMEDA COUNTY	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	
etreet address: Mailing address: City and zip code: Branch name		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:		
STIPULATION TO ATTEND ALTERNATIVE DIS	PUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFERENCE FOR 90 DAYS	CASE NUMBER:
(NSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes m	ust be checked, and the specified	l information must be provided,
This stipulation is effective when:		
 All parties have signed and filed this stipulation initial case management conference. A copy of this stipulation has been received by 		
Date complaint filed:	, An Initial Case Management Co	nference is scheduled for;
Date: Time:	Departme	ent:
2. Counsel and all parties certify they have met and o	conferred and have selected the follo	owing ADR process (check one):
☐ Court mediation ☐ Judicial arbitra	ition	
☐ Private mediation ☐ Private arbitrate	tion	
3. All parties agree to complete ADR within 90 days a	ind certify that:	
 a. No party to the case has requested a complex civil litigation determination hearing; b. All parties have been served end intend to submit to the jurisdiction of the court; c. All parties have agreed to a specific plan for sufficient discovery to make the ADR process meaningful; d. Copies of this stipulation and self-addressed stamped envelopes are provided for returning endorsed filed stamped copies to counsel and all parties; e. Case management statements are submitted with this stipulation; f. All parties will attend ADR conferences; and, g. The court will not allow more than 90 days to complete ADR. 		
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the	State of California that the foregoing	g is true and correct.
Date:	•	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF)	
Date:		
-	.	
(TYPE OF BOINT NAME)	ISIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY FOR	PI AINTIEE

Case 3:19-cv-01539-SI Document 1-2 Filed 03/25/19 Page 23 of 37

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ALA ADR-001
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:		CASE NUMBER.:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:		
Oate:	ć	
	•	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF DEFEN	DANT)
Date:		
	_ >	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY FOR DEFENDANT)

EXHIBIT "B"

	11		
ĺ	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ANTHONY E. SONNETT, SB# 163182		
2	E-Mail: Anthony.Sonnett@lewisbrisbois.com		
3	STEVEN A. DABROWSKI, SB# 294194 E-Mail: Steven.Dabrowski@lewisbrisbois.com 633 West 5 th Street, Suite 4000		
4	Los Angeles, California 90071		
5	Telephone: 213,250,1800 Facsimile: 213,250,7900		
6	Attorneys for Defendant		
7	VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, UNLIMITED JURISDICTION / NORTHERN BRANCH		
10	RENE C. DAVIDSON ALAMEDA COUNTY COURTHOUSE		
11			
12	TAMEIKA MORI,	CASE NO. RG19004473	
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC'S ANSWER TO	
14	VS.	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
15	TAYLOR MACHINE WORKS, INC.; VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC;	[Assigned for All Purposes to: The Hon, Evelio Grillo – Dept. 15]	
16	DOES 1 to 30,	· •	
17	Defendants,	Action Filed: January 23, 2019 Trial Date: None Set	
18			
19	TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THE	IR COUNSEL OF RECORD:	
20	Defendant VOLVO GROUP NORTH AN	MERICA, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant") hereby	
21	answers plaintiff's unverified complaint ("Complaint") as follows:		
22	Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 431.30(d), Defendant denies each		
23	and every allegation of the Complaint herein and the whole thereof, and further denies that		
24	plaintiff has been damaged in the sum or sums alleged, or in any sum whatsoever. Defendant		
25	further denies that plaintiff has sustained or will sustain any injury, damage or loss, if any, by		
26	reason of any act or omission on the part of Defendant.		
27	<i>111</i>		
28	///		
	4846-3785-8189.1		

DEFENDANT VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP VIONIESS AT LAW

1
*

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

1. Defendant alleges that the Complaint, and each and every separate cause of action therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute any cause of action against Defendant.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

2. Defendant alleges that plaintiff's causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, by the expiration of the applicable statutes of limitation, including, but not limited to, California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 335, 335.1, and 343, and all other applicable provisions of California's Code of Civil Procedure.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Fault)

3. Defendant alleges that the damages alleged by plaintiff, such damages being expressly denied, were proximately caused by the negligence, fault or carelessness of plaintiff and that such negligence, carelessness or fault comparatively reduces the percentage of any potential recovery from Defendant, if it should be found that Defendant committed any act entitling plaintiff to recovery, which Defendant expressly denies,

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Third-Party Comparative Fault)

4. Defendant alleges that the damages alleged by plaintiff, such damages being expressly denied, were proximately caused by the negligence, carelessness and/or other fault of firms, persons, corporations, or entities other than Defendant, and that such negligence, carelessness and/or fault bars recovery or comparatively reduces the percentage of any potential recovery attributable to Defendant, if it should be found that Defendant committed any act entitling plaintiff to recovery, which Defendant expressly denies.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Independent, Intervening or Superseding Causes)

5, Defendant alleges that independent, intervening and superseding forces and/or

	Í
í	Ž
	3
٤	£
į.	5
0	5
"	7
8	3
9	,
10)
1, 1	
12	1
13	-
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

actions of third parties or plaintiff proximately caused or contributed to plaintiff's alleged losses or damages, if any, barring recovery from Defendant.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Misuse of Product)

6. Defendant alleges that the alleged damages in question, if any, were the result of the misuse of the product in question.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Follow Warning Supplied with Product)

7. Any injuries or damages sustained by plaintiff by the product were proximately caused by the failure of plaintiff and/or other third parties, unrelated to Defendant, to follow the warning(s) supplied with the product, which warning(s) adequately warned of the risks involved in the product's use or misuse.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Follow Written and/or Oral Instructions)

8. Defendant alleges that plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused or contributed to by plaintiff's failure to comply with the written and oral instructions relating to use and maintenance of the product in question, and that plaintiff's recovery, if any, should therefore be diminished or barred in accordance with law.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Improperly Named as Defendant)

9. Defendant alleges that it is improperly named as a defendant in this action because it did not design, manufacture, assemble, or sell the Taylor Machine Works, Inc. model XLC-976 reach stacker, as alleged in the Complaint. Further, Defendant is not now, nor has ever been, a manufacturer of reach stackers such as the model XLC-976 alleged in plaintiff's Complaint.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(State of the Art)

10. Defendant alleges that the product involved in the subject incident conformed to the state of the art at the time of sale and was designed, manufactured, and tested pursuant to

1	ge
2	reg
3	int
4	•
5	
6	
7	pro
8	De
9	
10	
11	
12	ind
13	
14	
15	
16	Co
17	risk
18	ลรรา
19	
20	
21	
$22 \parallel$	the

generally recognized and prevailing standards and in accordance with the applicable statutes, regulations, and requirements that governed the product in the jurisdiction in which it was intended to be sold at the time of design, manufacture and sale.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Duty)

11. Defendant alleges that it had not assumed a duty to inspect, repair or maintain the product or products at issue, and as such the Complaint fails to state any cause of action against Defendant.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Join Necessary Parties)

12. Defendant alleges that plaintiff has failed to join a party or parties necessary and dispensable to this action.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Assumption of Risk)

13. Defendant alleges that if plaintiff incurred any loss or damage as alleged in the Complaint, then plaintiff's damages were legally and proximately caused by, and arose out of, risks of which plaintiff had both knowledge and understanding and that plaintiff voluntarily assumed.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Misuse, Abuse and Failure to Maintain Product)

14. Defendant alleges that plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused or contributed to by the misuse or abuse of and/or the failure to properly maintain and/or repair the product involved in the subject incident by plaintiff, or other third parties unrelated to Defendant. To the extent there was an abuse, alteration, misuse, or unintended use of the product by plaintiff or others which was without Defendant's knowledge or approval and was a proximate cause of the loss or damage alleged in the Complaint, then to that same extent, such abuse, alteration, misuse or unintentional use shall bar recovery against Defendant.

28

III

27

23

24

25

26

BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH UP

ı	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	(Alteration of Product)		
3	15. Defendant alleges the product in question was altered after it left Defendant's		
4	control, and this alteration proximately caused the losses and damages complained of, if there		
5	were any.		
6	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
7	(Sophisticated User)		
8	16. Defendant alleges that plaintiff was a sophisticated user of the product that is the		
9	subject of this suit, and that any dangers posed by the product were obvious or generally known to		
10	them, barring any claim by plaintiff for failure to warn.		
1 f	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
12	(Preemption)		
13	17. Defendant alleges that plaintiff's claims and/or causes of action are barred, in		
14	whole or in part, by the doctrine of preemption.		
15	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
16	(Failure to Make Reasonable Efforts to Minimize Loss)		
17	18. Defendant alleges that plaintiff has failed to act reasonably to minimize any loss or		
18	harm that they suffered, and could have avoided such harm by making reasonable efforts or		
19	expenditures.		
20	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
21	(Contribution)		
22	19. Defendant alleges that it is entitled to contribution from any person and entity		
23	whose negligence or other acts proximately contributed to the happening of the claimed incident		
24	or alleged injuries, if plaintiff should receive a verdict against Defendant.		
25	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
26	(Indemnification)		
27	20. Defendant alleges that it is entitled to indemnification by apportionment against all		
28	parties, persons, and entities whose negligence and/or acts contributed proximately to the		
	4846-3785-8189.t 5		



happening of the claimed incident or alleged damages. 1 TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 (Equitable Doctrines) 3 21. Defendant alleges that the Complaint, including each and every cause of action 4 5 therein, is barred by the equitable doctrines of laches, unclean hands, and/or estoppel. 6 TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 7 (Release) 8 22. Defendant alleges that plaintiff's claims are barred by any release and/or releases 9 executed by plaintiff and/or individuals, firms, corporations, or entities other than Defendant. 10 TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Waiver) H 12 23. Defendant alleges that plaintiff engaged in conduct and activities sufficient to 13 constitute waiver of any alleged breach of duty, negligence, act, omission, or any other conduct, if any, as set forth in the Complaint. 14 15 TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 16 (Non-Economic Damages Several Only) 17 24. Defendant alleges that, pursuant to California Civil Code sections 1431.1 and 1431.2, Defendant's liability, if any, for non-economic damages shall be several only and shall not 18 19 be joint with any other existing defendant, potential defendant, cross-complainant, crossdefendant, or other person or entity. Defendant can only be held liable for the amount of non-20 21 economic damages allocated to it in direct proportion to the percentage of fault, if any, determined 22 at trial, and a separate judgment shall be rendered against Defendant for that amount. 23 TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 24 (Res Judicata/Collateral Estoppel) 25. 25 Defendant alleges that plaintiff's Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by res 26 judicata or collateral estoppel. 27 IIIIII28

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD BESMITH LIP

Í	TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	(Notice)		
3	26. Defendant alleges that plaintiff failed to give timely notice to Defendant concerning		
4	any purported breach of warranty, express or implied, as required by California Commercial Code,		
5	section 2607(3)(a).		
6	TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
7	(Express Warranty)		
8	27. Defendant alleges that if an express warranty was formed, said express warranty		
9	was in lieu of any other warranties, expressed or implied, including any warranty of		
10	merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose.		
11	TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
12	(Waiver of Warranties)		
13	28. Defendant alleges that plaintiff expressly waived any and all implied warranties not		
14	specifically provided for in the warranty, if there were any.		
15	TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
16	(Settlement)		
17	29. Defendant alleges that any recovery or settlement plaintiff may have obtained from		
18	other individuals, firms, corporations, or entities over whom Defendant has or had no control or		
19	right of control, must reduce or bar altogether any recovery or judgment which plaintiff might		
20	obtain from Defendant.		
21	THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	(Violations of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 3333.3, 3333.4)		
23	30. Defendant alleges that plaintiff's damages, if any, are limited or barred by the		
24	provisions of California Civil Code sections 3333.3 and 3333.4.		
25	THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
26	(Reservation of Rights)		
27	31. Defendant has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief		
8	as to whether it may have additional affirmative defenses available. Defendant reserves the right		
	4846-3785-8189.1		
	DEFENDANT VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT		

Case 3:19-cv-01539-SI Document 1-2 Filed 03/25/19 Page 32 of 37 to assert additional affirmative defenses in the event discovery indicates that it will be appropriate to do so. WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that the Court enter a judgment: Dismissing plaintiff's Complaint as against Defendant, with prejudice; 1. Awarding Defendant its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees; 2. Granting Defendant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and 3. appropriate. DEFENDANT HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY. DATED: March 25, 2019 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP By: Anthony E. Sonnett Steven A. Dabrowski Attorneys for Defendant VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC



4846-3785-8189,1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CALIFORNIA STATE COURT PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 Mori v. VGNA - Case No. RG19004473 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 4 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is 633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000, Los Angeles, CA 90071. 5 On March 25, 2019, I served the following document(s): **DEFENDANT VOLVO** GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT 6 7 I served the documents on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable): 8 Michael Villeggiante, Esq. WELTIN, STREB, & WELTIN, LLP 1432 Martin Luther King Jr. Way Oakland, CA 94612 $\mathbf{0}$ 510-251-6060 Tel: 510-251-6040 11 Fax: Email: mvilleggiante@weltinlaw.com 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff Tameika Mori 13 The documents were served by the following means: 14 ΪK (BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to 15 the persons at the addresses listed above and: Placed the envelope or package for collection and mailing, following our ordinary 16 区 business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, on the same day that correspondence is placed 17 for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope or package with the postage fully prepaid. 18 19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 Executed on March 25, 2019, at Los Angèles, California. 21 22 23 SAMANTHA COX 24 25 26 27 28

LEWIS PRISBOIS RISGAARD RISGAARD

4846-3785-8189 1

- 9

EXHIBIT "C"

			FER O LYONA
-	SÚMMONS I <i>GION JUDICIAL)</i>		FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):		. 3 -	THED
Taylor Machine Works, Inc.; 1-30	Volvo Group North America, LL	.C; Does	LAMOTA (13
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLA (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL D		CLE	TO ORTHE SUPERIOR COURT
Tameika Mori			By: ERICA BAKER, Deputy
helnw.		•	you respond within 30 days. Read the information written response at this court and have a copy
served on the plaintiff. A latter or phone case. There may be a court form that yo Online Solf-Help Center (www.courtinfo. the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If yo	cell will not protect you. Your written respons to can use for your response. You can find th ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the ou do not file your response on time, you may	e must be in p ese court form re courthouse	report legal form if you want the court to hear your sand more information at the California Courts nearest you, if you cannot pay the filing fee, ask by default, and your wages, money, and property
may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an atterney right away. If you do not know an atterney, you may want to call an atterney reterral services from a nonprofit legal services program, You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.tewhelpcatifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfitelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration eward of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's fien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde denire de 30 dias, is corte puede decidir on su contro sin occurbar su versión. Lea la información a			
corte y hacer que se entregue une copta en formato legal correcto si desaé que p Pueda encontrar ostos formularios de la bibliotaca de leyos de su condado o en l que la dó un formulario do exención de p	nt demendante. Una carte o une llemade tel rocosen su caso en la corte. Es positie que l corte y más información en el Contro de Ayu a corte que le quade más cerca. Si no pueda sego de cuotas. Si no presenta su respitasta	efònica no lo p hays un formu da de las Cort pagar la cuote	ara presentar una respuesta por escrito en este rotogon. Su respuesta por escrito llane que estar lario que usiled puode vser pere su respuesta, es de California (avvev.sucorte.ca.gov), en lo e de presentación, pida el socretario de la corte de perder el ceso por incumplimiento y la corta te
remisión a ebogados. Si no puade pagar programa de servicios legales sin fines d (www.lawheipcalllomja.org), en el Centro colegio de ebogados locales, AVISO: Po	endable que liame a un abagado inmodisiam r a un abagado, es posible que cumpla con k la lucro. Puede encontrar estás grupos sin fir o do Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www. ur lay, la corte tiena derocho a raciomer las c s de valor recibida mediante un ocuerdo o un	is requisitos pa les de fucró en l',sucorte.ca.go llotas y los cos	el silio web de Gelifomia Legal Servicas, v) o paniendose en contacio con la corte o si
The name and address of the court is El nombre y dirección de la corte es).	: Superior Ct. of Cal., County of	Alameda	CASE NUMBER: INDEPENDENT OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
	1225 Fallon Street Oakland, CA 94612		<u> </u>
El nombre, la dirección y el número d	imber of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff w le leléfono del abogado del demandante	, o del dema	mey, is: ndante que no tiene abogedo, es): Oakland, CA 94612; 510-251-6060
DATE: Fechs) 14N 2 3 2019	Clerk, by (Secretari	o Mos	Deputy (Adjunto)
	use Proof of Service of Summons (form on use al formulario Proof of Service of		POS-010)).
	CE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You a as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitio	re served	, ,
1 2	ー 白 on behalf of (specify): VOLV O	GROUP	NORTH AMERICA, LLC

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)
CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)

under: CCP 418.10 (corporation)

4. by personal delivery on (date);

(X) other (specify): LLC

Page 1 of 1

CCP 416.60 (minor)

CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)



Service of Process **Transmittal**

02/22/2019

CT Log Number 534975228

70: Therence O Pickett, V.P., Gen Csl & Secretary

Volvo Group North America, Inc. 7900 National Service Rd Greensboro, NC 27409-9416

Process Served in California RE:

Volvo Group North America, LLC (Domestic State: DE) FOR:

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: TAMEIKA MORI, PLTF. vs. TAYLOE MACHINE WORKS, INC. AND Volvo Group North

America, LLC, DFTS.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: SUMMONS, COMPLAINT, ATTACHMENT(S), STIPULATION

ALAMEDA COUNTY - SUPERIOR COURT, CA COURT/AGENCY:

Case # RG19004473

NATURE OF ACTION: Product Liability Litigation - Personal Injury - ON 07/01/2017

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: C T Corporation System, Los Angeles, CA DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE: By Process Server on 02/22/2019 at 10:59

JURISDICTION SERVED : California

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: Within 30 calendar days after this summons and legal papers are served on you to

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S): MICHAEL VILLEGGIANTE

WELTIN, STREB, & WELTIN, LLP 1432 MÁRTIN LÚTHER KING JR. WAY OAKLAND, CA 94612

510-251-6060

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 02/22/2019, Expected Purge Date:

02/27/2019

Image SOP

Email Notification, Therence O Pickett therence.pickett@volvo.com Email Notification, Donna Niemann donna.niemann@volvo.com

Email Notification, Jennifer Brown jennifer.brown@volvo.com

SIGNED: C T Corporation System ADDRESS:

555 Capitol Mall

Suite 1000

Sacramento, CA 95814 916-497-0656

TELEPHONE:

Page 1 of 1 / MN

Information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves, Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action. Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not contents.

FEDERAL COURT PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 Tameika Mori v. Taylor Machine Works, Inc., et al. - Case No. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is 633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000, Los Angeles, CA 90071. I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction 4 5 the service was made. 6 On March 25, 2019, I served the following document(s): **DEFENDANT VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, LLC'S NOTICE OF INTERESTED** PARTIES 8 I served the documents on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable): 9 Michael Villeggiante, Esq. WELTIN, STREB, & WELTIN, LLP 11 1432 Martin Luther King Jr. Way Oakland, CA 94612 12 Tel: 510-251-6060 Fax: 510-251-6040 mvilleggiante@weltinlaw.com 13 Email: Attorneys for Plaintiff Tameika Mori 14 15 The documents were served by the following means: × (BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package 16 addressed to the persons at the addresses listed above and I deposited the sealed envelope or package with the U.S. Postal Service, with the postage 17 fully prepaid. 18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 19 20 Executed on March 25, 2019, at Los Angeles, California. 21 22 SAMANTHA COX 23 24 25 26 27

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNESS AT LAW

4840-9256-7182.1

28